

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/688,991	10/21/2003	Tadayoshi Kawaguchi	500.41295VX1	4207
20457	7590 10/18/2004		EXAMINER	
	LI, TERRY, STOUT &	HOANG, TU BA		
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	N, VA 22209-9889	3742	•	

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>\(\lambda\)</i>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
,	10/688,991	KAWAGUCHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tu Ba Hoang	3742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t. cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers	•					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 21 October 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	e: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected or b) ☒ objected or b) ☒ objected or awing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv ou (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/03,3/04,9/04. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/688,991

Art Unit: 3742

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 2 (noted on page 5), 1 (noted on page 6), 9 (noted on page 7). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, there is insufficient antecedent basis for "the processing" recited at line 5 in the claim. Such "processing" must also be clearly defined. The phrase "..using an antenna and a Faraday shield which are provided at outer periphery of the vacuum vessel and **to which** a high-frequency electric power can be applied,..." recited at lines 3-4 renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear for what "to which" being referred to. Does "to which" include the antenna, the faraday shield and the vacuum vessel, or just the antenna, or just the faraday shield, or only the antenna and the faraday shield, or in other combinations? Clarification is needed.

Claims 2, 6, and 7 are indefinite as for the same reason set forth in claim 1 above noting the phrases "the processing" and "to which".

Additionally, in claim 6, the phrase "comprises" recited at line 1 is used to indicated that all of the recitation of "supplying..." (line 1), "producing..." (line 2) which are considered positive claimed steps of the method. However, in the claim, latter recited "the method comprises the first step of....." (line 5) which leads to confusion whether "comprises supplying..... wherein" (lines 1-5) to be considered as intended use in the preamble or itself is the preamble of the claim. Appropriate corrections are suggested.

Additionally, in claim 7, the term "cleaning" recited at line 6 renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear for which to be cleaned or to do such cleaning. It is suggested (or other appropriate corrections) that the "cleaning" to be replaced by "is cleaned" and the phrase "is carried out" recited at line 7 (after "Faraday shield") to be deleted because it is clear that only the number of foreign matters is needed to be clean. It is noted that claim 7 is intended to be a process claim. However, structural limitations such as "monitor for foreign matters" are not positively recited in term of a positive process step.

Application/Control Number: 10/688,991

Art Unit: 3742

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 7 as being best understood is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Doi et al (JP 2000-323298-A) cited by the Applicants. Doil et al shows a plasma processing apparatus and method thereof (Figure 9) in which a processing gas is supplied by source 4 to a vacuum vessel forming a plasma production part 2a, a plasma is produced by using an antenna 1a or 1b and a Faraday shield 8a provided at the outer periphery of the vacuum vessel, wherein a high-frequency electric power source 10 is for supplying a high-frequency electric power (i.e., voltage) to the antenna and the Faraday shield and any foreign matters in the vacuum vessel can be clean due to the nature operational of the vacuum plasma processing apparatus.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Collins et al (US 6,054,013), Blalock (US 5,647,913), Schneider et al (US 6,308,654), Hirano et al (US 6,084,763) and Masuda et al (US 6,171,438).

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record does not show or fairly suggest a voltage in the range of at least 500V to 1500V is applied to the faraday shield in plasma processing system or process.

Claims 1-6 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tu Ba Hoang whose telephone number is (703) 308-3303. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 8:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronia Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tu Ba Hoang Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742